Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/965,646	CROM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel M Marcelo	3654
I Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Emmanuel M Marcelo.	(3)	
(2) <u>Lowell Anderson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 May 2004	Time: <u>4:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 23 24 28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed language to claims 23 and 24 to specify which brake (from claim 22) is being referred to and suggested "the" be changed to "a" in claim 28, line 2.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Enny Mal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No.

Applicant(s)